



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-4946-2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

Jai Singh Saini

.....Appellant

Vs.

Mrs. Shyam Kaur and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

None for respondent No.2.

Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. None had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant since 29.07.2025, therefore, on the last date of hearing, Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant, respondent No.1 as well as their counsel for the date fixed.

2. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back served through his wife and she has informed that the appellant has expired and notice issued to respondent No.1 has been received back served. It is further reported that learned counsel for the appellant has been informed through e-mail.

3. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

4. In view of the above, this Court is now left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.



6. Liberty is, however, reserved to the legal representative(s) of the appellant to move an application to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.
7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

08.05.2026
Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No