



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204+205

1.

CWP-6325-2015

Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Hardeep Singh Sidhu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

2.

CWP-795-2015

Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

3.

CWP-26459-2016

Date of decision: 02.04.2026

Renu Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : None for the petitioner in CWP-6325-2015.

None for the petitioner in CWP-795-2015.

Mr. Brijesh Khosla, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-26459-2016.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This order shall dispose of above-mentioned writ petitions

as the issue involved therein is similar.

1.1 For the sake of convenience, the facts are being taken from CWP-6325-2015 titled as 'Hardeep Singh Sidhu v. State of Punjab and another'.

2. The present petition (CWP-6325-2015) has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, for quashing the action/policy decision of the respondent-department, whereby the eligibility of the petitioner on the ground that his graduation degree/marks sheets and other educational certificates are yet to be verified as per the guidelines of the University Grants Commission and on the other hand, declared the merit list of the other successful candidates for the purpose of appointment for the posts of clerks i.e. Annexure P-3 (Colly.) dated 26/30.06.2014, ignoring the eligibility and higher percentage of the petitioner. Further, a writ of mandamus has also been sought for directing the respondents to consider the petitioner for appointment to the post of clerk by issuing a revised selection list in place of Annexure P-3.

3. Vide order dated 20.03.2018, the case was adjourned to await the decision in CWP No.21991 of 2014. The said petition has been disposed of, vide order dated 21.05.2025, along with other connected petitions by passing the following order:-

“By this common order, I shall dispose of all the above numbered cases, as the issue involved therein is similar.

1.1 For the sake of convenience, the facts are being derived from CWP-23267-2014 titled as “Gurwinder Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others”.

2. *The present petition (CWP-23267-2014) has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India inter alia seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to add 3.3750 marks as weightage of the M. Phil (as per Clause 8 of the advertisement, Annexure P/2, for the post of Head Master), to the total marks given to the petitioner(s) in the final merit list making her final total marks to 58.043 from 55.0055, as the benefit of weightage was given to the similarly situated person, respondent No.7- Harsimranjit Kaur and place the petitioner(s) at an appropriate place in the final Merit List after adding the weightage of M. Phil in the final marks and to further direct respondents No.1 to 3 to appoint the petitioner(s) from the date the other selected candidates in the final Merit List were appointed.*

3. *Learned counsel representing the petitioners as well as the respondents in the respective cases are ad idem that the issues involved in the present writ petitions are covered by the judgment dated 09.04.2025 rendered by a Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.1843 of 2019 titled as “**State of Punjab and another vs. Karamjeet Kaur**” and also the subsequent clarifications rendered by the Division Bench vide order dated 05.05.2025 passed in CM-3184-LPA-2025 in LPA No.1843 of 2019, therefore all the matters may be disposed of, accordingly.*

4. *Keeping in view the submissions made by the respective counsels, the present writ petition along with connected matters as numbered above, stand disposed of in terms of judgment dated 09.04.2025 passed in LPA No.1843 of 2019, which stands further clarified vide order dated 05.05.2025 passed in CM-3184-LPA-2025 in LPA No.1843 of 2019.*

5. *All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.*

xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx”

4. Learned State counsel submits that the judgment dated 09.04.2025 passed in **LPA No.1843 of 2019 titled as 'State of Punjab and another v. Karamjeet Kaur'**, has been challenged by the State before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) Diary No.46242 of 2025, wherein status quo has been granted, vide order dated 16.09.2025, which reads as under:-

“Delay condoned.

Issue notice.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent accepts notice.

In the meantime, there shall be an order of status quo existing as on today.”

5. It is not disputed that the present petitions are squarely covered by the decision in ***LPA No.1843 of 2019 titled as 'State of Punjab and another v. Karamjeet Kaur', decided on 09.04.2025.*** Consequently, the present petitions are disposed of in the same terms, however, subject to the final outcome of the aforesaid SLP.

02.04.2026
Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No