



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-6705-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-26385-2017
DECIDED ON: 06.05.2026

USHA KUMARI

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate with
Ms. Ashika Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CM-6705-CWP-2026

Prayer in this application is for fixing the actual date of hearing in the main petition.

Notice in the application.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having served with an advance copy of the application, has put in appearance and endorsed no objection, in case the present application is allowed.

Considering that the petition was adjourned *sine die* to await the decision in SLP No. 27394 of 2018 arising out of *Yogesh Tyagi vs. State of Haryana*, and that the Supreme Court has finally decided the issue raised therein, while considering a bunch of connected cases vide judgment rendered in *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of

2024 decided on 16.04.2026 (Annexure A-1), the present application deserves to be allowed.

Accordingly, the application is allowed.

Main case is taken on board.

Main case

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution India for issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the service of the petitioner on the sanctioned post of Jr. Scale Stenographer in the office of respondent No.3, in terms of Regularization Policy, notified vide notification No.6/7/2014-1 G.S. I. dated 18.6.2014 (Annexure P-7) issued by respondent No.1.

The petitioner was appointed against a sanctioned post of Junior Scale Stenographer on a contractual basis for the period from 13.10.2010 to 31.03.2011 vide appointment letter annexed as Annexure P-3.

The petitioner claims to be covered under the policy dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-7) as well as by the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024 titled *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, along with a bunch of connected appeals.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid proposal put forth by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, Respondent No. 2, i.e., Director General, Town and Country Planning, Haryana, is directed to examine the individual claims for regularization of the petitioner and adjudicate the same in light of the principles laid down in *Madan Singh's case* (supra), after affording an opportunity of hearing

to the petitioner and by passing a speaking order. A copy of the speaking order so passed shall be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

It is further clarified that all the pleas raised in the present petition and the grounds taken therein shall be duly considered at the time of adjudication of the petitioner’s case before passing the speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing.

The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

06.05.2026
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No