



**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18065-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:29.05.2026**

UNIVERSAL COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND ANOTHERPETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Karan S. Gill, learned counsel, entered appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 and filed a memo of appearance. The same is taken on record. The Registry is directed to do the needful.

2. The limited ground on which recognition has been denied to the petitioner(s)-Institution is that the requisite number of teachers were not available with the petitioner(s)-Institution.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s)-Institution states that before the respondent-authorities, the relevant facts were highlighted and a prayer was made to adjourn the matter in order to place on record the approval granted by the Dean of the University.

4. It transpires that the approval was granted on 19.05.2026, whereas the appeal itself stood decided on 22.04.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s)-Institution places

reliance upon an order passed in CWP No.4969 of 2026, decided on 12.03.2026. The submission is that the appellate authority be directed to re-consider the matter.

6. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2-NCTE states that, in the event subsequent developments are placed before the appropriate authority by way of an application along with a copy of this order, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

7. It is also submitted that the order passed by the appellate authority is otherwise cryptic and, therefore, it is urged that in the event the matter is remitted for fresh consideration by the appellate authority, no prejudice would be caused.

8. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2-NCTE further states that in the event, the petitioner(s)-Institution approaches the concerned authority along with documents indicating that the teachers appointed in the Institution have been granted approval by the concerned authority, an appropriate decision will be taken by the appellate authority.

9. In that view of the matter, we ***dispose of*** the present writ petition with liberty reserved to the petitioner(s)-Institution to approach respondent No.2 with a prayer to review the appellate order in light of the facts which have subsequently come to light.

10. It goes without saying that if such an approach is made, respondent No.2 shall look into the facts of the case and pass an appropriate order, as may be warranted in law, within a further period of **four weeks**.

11. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

MAY 29, 2026
Rahul Joshi

- | | |
|------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether Reportable | Yes/No |