

CWP-18650-2026

2026:PHHC:088425



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CWP-18650-2026

Date of Decision: **01.07.2026**

Mahipal and others

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR


Present : Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners either under the policy dated 27.02.2004 (Annexure P12) or to frame a new regularization policy for the petitioners in the light of judgments of Hon'ble the Supreme Court. Further prayer has been made to engage the petitioners on full time basis till they are regularized.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioners were engaged as part-time Sweepers in Government schools/offices since 2007-2008 on the recommendation of Employment Exchange/SMC and




they have been working for the last 17-18 years. Learned counsel further submits that despite the policies dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure P11) and 27.02.2004 (Annexure P12) provide for regularization of part-time Group-D employees on completion of six years' service, however, the petitioners' claim for regularization of their services has not been considered. Learned counsel further submits that the State government has issued instructions for regularization of part-time Group-D employees, who have completed five years' service on the date of issuance of the said instructions, as discernible from Annexure P8. At this stage, petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide legal notice dated 20.04.2026 (**Annexure P27**) by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to hear and decide the decide legal notice dated 20.04.2026 (Annexure P27).

5. In view of the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioners without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 is directed to hear and decide the decide legal notice dated 20.04.2026 (**Annexure P27**), filed by the petitioners in a time bound manner and pass a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

CWP-18650-2026



6. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same be granted to them forthwith by respondents/competent authority.

July 01 , 2026.
'om'

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable. :	Yes/No