



234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1048-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11th February 2026

CHANDER KANT

.....Appellant(s)

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate and
 Mr. Bhawanjot Singh, Advocate, for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

CM-2676-LPA-2023

Delay of 130 days in filing of the appeal has been satisfactorily explained. Accordingly, application is allowed and delay of 130 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

LPA-1048-2023 (O&M)

1. This Appeal seeks to assail judgment dated 21.02.2023 of the learned Single Bench passed in *CWP-5830-2017*, wherein, the Court has refused to interfere with the impugned order and has dismissed the Writ Petition.

2. The only grievance raised in the Writ Petition was that the appellant was being repeatedly asked to produce his qualification of B.A. (Hindi) on the pretext that such qualification was acquired by the appellant from the University which is not a recognized institute.

3. Learned Single Judge has observed that the concerned officer of the Education Department is well within his rights to examine the qualifications of the appellant for appointment on the post of Guest Teacher (Hindi).

4. It is not in dispute that the qualification obtained by the appellant is from Hindi Sahitya Sammelan Prayag, Allahabad. Such qualification has been obtained in the year 1988. Learned State counsel points out that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of **Rajasthan and Others** Vs. **Lata Arun** ; 2002 (6) SCC 252 has examined the validity of the qualifications and certificates issued by the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan Prayag, Allahabad and it has been observed as under:-

“14. Testing the facts of the case in hand on the touchstone of the principles it is clear that on the date of submission of the application for joining the course the respondent did not possess the prescribed qualification of 10+2 or 1st year TDC from a recognized institution. The Madhayama Certificate from Hindi Sahitya Sammellan Prayag, Allahabad had been deleted from the recognized qualification by the notification dated 28.6.1985. The respondent submitted the application for admission to the course in December, 1989. In the circumstances the High Court was in error in issuing a direction to the appellants to treat the respondent as a candidate possessed of the prescribed educational qualification and to declare, her result on that basis.”

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that notwithstanding the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the appellant is entitled to be appointed on the post of Guest Teacher (Hindi).

6. Be that as it may, learned Single Judge has refused to interfere in the Writ Petition of the appellant whereby he assailed the action of the respondents-State in calling upon the appellant to establish the legality of his degrees/qualifications, so as to determine his eligibility for appointment. Refusal by the Writ Court to interfere would only result in the appellant appearing before the authorities and establishing his eligibility. In such view of the matter, we do not find any occasion to interfere in the judgment of the learned Single Judge. The Appeal fails and is **dismissed**, accordingly.

7. All pending applications in this case, if any, are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

February 11, 2026
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>