



**CM-16941-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-17353-2024(O&M)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-16941-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-17353-2024(O&M)
Date of decision:-08.04.2026**

IIFL Home Finance Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM :-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present :- Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank Vashisth, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate
for the applicant/intervener.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed by a financial institution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Estate Officer, Kurukshetra, Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran – respondent No.3 to grant “No Objection Certificate” or permission to transfer the mortgaged property.

2. Counsel for the petitioner states that a loan facility was provided to private respondents No.5 and 6, who defaulted in repayment. He submits that their account was declared as NPA and proceedings were initiated under the Sarfaesi Act, 2002. Counsel submits that after the physical possession of the secured asset was taken over, it was put to auction and respondent No.6



**CM-16941-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-17353-2024(O&M)**

was the successful bidder and a sale certificate dated 06.12.2023, Annexure P7, was issued in the name of the successful bidder. Counsel submits that by letters, Annexures P8 and P9, financial institution has approached respondent No.3 with a request to issue a “No Objection Certificate” and permission to transfer the mortgaged property. However, the request has not been considered.

3. Short reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No.3 wherein it has been categorically stated that the question of granting “No Objection Certificate” at this stage does not arise.

4. Mr. Mahajan, who is representing respondent No.3 states that petitioner is required to get the sale certificate registered and present it before respondent No.3 besides complying with procedural requirements. He submits that once the same is done, re-allotment letter shall be issued as per law.

5. In view of the stand taken by respondent No.3, writ petition is disposed of.

6. Liberty is given to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for registration of the sale deed to the competent authority, while fulfilling the requisite formalities.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

**08.04.2026
Brij**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No