



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at
Chandigarh**

108

CRM-M-31931-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 29.05.2026

Tarsem Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (for short - 'the BNSS') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.41 dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 118(1), 190 and 191(3) the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short - 'the BNS') registered at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala.

2. Allegations levelled as per present FIR are that the petitioner along with other co-accused armed with bricks and sticks caused injuries upon the complainant party.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. No specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner, nor any injury is attributed to the petitioner. It is further submitted that a similarly situated co-accused, namely Gurdeep Singh, has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 15.05.2026 passed in CRM-M-27799-2026. Learned counsel



CRM-M-31931-2026 (O&M) (2)

further submitted that the petitioner is having clean and clear antecedents and is ready and willing to join the investigation.

- 4. Notice of motion.
- 5. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submitted that the petitioner along with the co-accused formed an unlawful assembly and attacked the complainant party, causing injuries to them. However, he fairly conceded that the case of the present petitioner stands on the same footing as that of the aforesaid co-accused who has already been granted anticipatory bail.
- 6. Heard.
- 7. Considering the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties as well as the facts and circumstances of the present case, particularly the fact that no specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner, nor any injury is attributed to him; the petitioner has clean antecedents; and that his case is similarly situated to that of co-accused Gurdeep Singh, who has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 15.05.2026 passed in CRM-M-27799-2026, this Court finds it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing personal bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

29.05.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE