



CRM-M-32744-2025 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-32744-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.03.2026

Rajni Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.48 dated 12.06.2023, registered under Sections 302, 201, 328, 120-B IPC at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for 02 years and 08 months. He alleges false implication in the case. He was not named in the FIR. It is during investigation that she came to be implicated, however, there is no evidence to connect her with the alleged incident. She was also not present at the spot. Co-accused, who are stated to have caught hold the deceased allegedly forcefully administrated the Salphaz, have been granted bail by co-ordinate Benches vide orders dated 27.08.2025 and 18.12.2025, Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively. Charges have been framed on 21.08.2024; out of total 35 prosecution witnesses, 06 have been examined. She is not involved in any other case.

3. The custody certificate dated 18.03.2026, filed by the learned State



counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 02 years and 08 months.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there were specific allegations levelled against the petitioner that she in connivance with other co-accused committed the murder of the deceased. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case; co-accused enlarged on bail and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for 02 years and 08 months; co-accused are on bail; not involved in any other case; charges were framed on 21.08.2024, out of total 35 prosecution witnesses, only 06 have been examined, the trial is likely to take considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of her right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, she is an accused, or for commission of which she is suspected of.



- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse her liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish her address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, she seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No