



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M No.32235 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision :15.01.2026

Nonita Thakur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr.Parth Aneja, Advocate for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhjot Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in a case arising out of FIR No.112 dated 25.06.2024, under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC and Section 13 of PTPR Act 2014, Police Station Phase-I, SAS Nagar Mohali.
2. On 12.06.2025, this Court passed an interim order directing the respondents not to take any coercive action against the petitioner.
3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with above mentioned order, dated 12.06.2025, the petitioner has already joined investigation.
4. The learned State Counsel has submitted that in compliance with the order dated 12.06.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation. However,



he has opposed the petition for anticipatory bail on the ground that recovery of money is yet to take place.

5. There seems to be no direct link between the commission of offence and the petitioner, otherwise also, it is a matter of trial. Apparently, there seems to be no ground to deny the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. In view of above, the petitioner is admitted to anticipatory bail subject to the condition of furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer within 15 days. She is further directed to abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

7. Present petition stands allowed, accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.01.2026
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No