

2026:PHHC:067038



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-23201-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 30, 2026

MONIKA AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for
Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the Commission to include the name/roll number of petitioners no.1 and 2 in the list of candidates under General category, and that of petitioner no.3 in the list of BC-A category candidates, who have been called for interview for the post of Post Graduate Teacher (PGT) Economics in Rest of Haryana cadre as per notice dated 30.08.2018, Annexure P-8.

2. On 13.03.2023, the following statement by learned State counsel was recorded:

Learned State counsel submits that in case a candidate secures the stipulated cut off marks, he/she is called for the scrutiny of documents; that petitioners No.1 and 2 applied for the post of PGT in the General Category whereas petitioner No.3 applied for in the BC-A Category; that the last short-listed candidate in the General Category called for the interview, had

secured 90 marks whereas petitioners No.1 and 2 had secured 88 marks and that the last short-listed candidate in the BC-A Category called for the interview, had secured 86 marks whereas petitioner No.3 had secured 82 marks, and that the petitioners were not called for the interview for the reason that they had secured the less marks than the last selected candidates in their respective Categories.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to dispute the facts aforementioned, nor any document to the contrary has been placed on record.

4. Accordingly, having secured lesser marks than the marks secured by the last shortlisted candidate(s) in General as well as BC-A category, the petitioners cannot be considered for selection.

5. In view thereof, the petition stands dismissed.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

April 30, 2026

Jaspreet Kaur

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : ✓ *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*