



ARB-332-2024 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

ARB-332-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:19.02.2026

M/S B.L. Gupta Constructions (P) Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/S M3M India Pvt. Ltd.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Balwinder Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through V.C.).Mr. Ashish Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Rupa Pathania, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Kundu, Advocate for the respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner appearing through V.C. and learned Senior counsel for the respondent submitted that the issue regarding the competence as to which Court would extend the mandate of the Arbitrator under Section 29-A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 has since been resolved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jagdeep Chowgule vs. Sheela Chowgule and others, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 124***. It has been held that the competent Court for the purpose of Section 29-A would be the Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Act.
2. In view of the above the present petition is dismissed.

2

3. The parties are at liberty to file an appropriate application under Section 29-A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 before the competent Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Act, in accordance with law.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

19.02.2026

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No