



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.133)

**CWP No. 17576 of 2025 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 19.05.2026**

**M/s Go Live through its authorized partner Vinay Sharma**

.....Petitioner

*Versus*

**The State of Haryana and others**

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present :     Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.  
                 Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.  
                 Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for respondent No.4.  
                 Mr. Sankalp Sagar, Advocate for respondent No.7.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

(1)            Through this petition, the order under challenge is dated 21.05.2025 (Annexure P-23) through which the dispute in question was remanded to the Municipal Commissioner, Gurugram (for short – the Commissioner) for fresh decision by the Commissioner.

(2)            During the pendency of this petition, in terms of the impugned order, the Commissioner has passed an order dated 29.10.2025, to which order the petitioner has filed its objections and in the light of such development, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if this petition is disposed of with a direction to



the Commissioner to take a decision on the objections filed by the petitioner, as above, in a time bound manner.

(3) Learned counsel for the respondent(s) have no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

(4) After finding the petitioner's afore prayer to be reasonable and in the light of the no objection by learned counsel for the respondent(s) to its acceptance, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner to take a decision on the objections filed by the petitioner to order dated 29.10.2025 passed by the Commissioner, preferably within 10 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner/its authorized representative, in accordance with law.

(5) Till the decision by the Commissioner, as above, is not conveyed to the petitioner, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

(6) Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

( DEEPAK SIBAL )  
JUDGE

**19.05.2026**  
*sunil yadav*

( LAPITA BANERJI )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No