



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32659-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.06.2026

Nekveer

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Ms. Kritika Mandhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.564, dated 18.07.2023, under Sections 191(3), 190,115(2), 117,126,304 and 351 of B.N.S {148,149,323,325,341,379-B and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was wrongly arrested in the present case and ultimately, applied for grant of concession of bail before this Court. Vide order dated 15.07.2025 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner was admitted to bail by this Court. Thereafter, the petitioner was regularly appearing before the Trial Court on each and every date of hearing. However, on 23.02.2026, the petitioner was suffering from high fever and vomiting, moved an application for exemption from personal appearance. However, the prayer was wrongly declined by the Trial Court and the bail of the petitioner was ordered to be cancelled and bail bonds were forfeited to the State

and warrants of arrest were issued against the present petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner filed CRM-M-16321-2026 (Annexure P-9) before this Court, challenging the order dated 23.02.2026 passed by the Trial Court. The said petition was allowed by this Court and the petitioner was directed to appear before the Trial Court, so that the trial may resume. Even, the order dated 23.02.2026, passed by the Trial Court was set aside and the petitioner was directed to surrender before the Trial Court and in case of surrender, he was directed to be released on bail by the Trial Court. However, a condition was imposed by this Court on 25.03.2026 that the petitioner was to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from that day, which means that the petitioner was supposed to surrender by 25.04.2026. However, again the petitioner did not surrender before the Trial Court and moved an application i.e. CRM-18547-2026 and prayed for extension of time to surrender before the Trial Court to furnish fresh bail bonds/surety bonds. However, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court considered the said prayer of the petitioner by making the following observations:-

“In spite of a clear direction issued by this Court to surrender before the trial Court and furnish fresh bail bonds/surety bonds within four weeks, the petitioner has failed to surrender before the trial Court within the stipulated time without any plausible ground. Such conduct demonstrates a lack of bona fides and undermines the Court proceedings. Grant of relief by this Court was contingent upon the petitioner/applicant's willingness to abide by the directions of this Court; the petitioner/applicant's non-compliance reflects an attempt to evade due process. In these circumstances, no ground is made out for extending any

further relief to the petitioner/applicant in the facts and circumstances of the present case”.

3. Now, instead of complying with the orders passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has mischievously filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to him.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, it appears that the petitioner intentionally did not appear before the Trial Court on 23.02.2026. Still, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had taken a lenient view of the matter and the petitioner was granted a period of four weeks to surrender before the Trial Court, so that the trial may not be delayed. Even, he was ordered to be released on bail by the Trial Court also. However, again the petitioner defaulted and did not surrender before the Trial Court. Even his prayer for extension of time for furnishing the bail bonds/surety bonds were declined by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court by observing that such conduct demonstrates a lack of *bona fides* and undermines the judicial proceedings. Now, again just to circumvent the said order, the present petition has been filed by the petitioner, which is not permissible in law.

6. Consequently, finding no merits, the petition is ordered to be dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of, accordingly.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

09.06.2026
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No