



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6348-2026

Date of decision: 27.05.2026

SHAMSHER KAUR AND ANR.

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Anmol Jeevan S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India seeking directions to the official respondents to provide adequate security/police protection of life and property of the petitioners from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner has already made representation dated 01.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala raising the said grievances, but no action has been taken so far.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to consider the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon qua threat perception.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.

5. In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 01.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner qua threat perception only within a period of 30 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

27.05.2026

puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE