

2026:PHHC:085026



-1-

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-4657-2026

Date of Decision: 29.05.2026

RAJBIR SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

JAGDEEP AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Petitioner in person.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

Present civil revision petition has been preferred under Article 227 of Constitution of India by petitioner/defendant No.2 being aggrieved by impugned order dated 14.05.2026 (Annexure P-21) passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Charkhi Dadri as while setting aside ex parte order dated 16.09.2025, application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, 1908 for rejection of plaint which was dismissed in default on 16.09.2025 was not revived.

2. Petitioner/defendant No.2 in person submits that after he was proceeded ex parte vide order dated 16.09.2025, he moved an application for setting aside the said ex parte order which was allowed vide order dated 14.05.2026, whereby ex parte proceedings dated 16.09.2025 were set aside, subject to cost of Rs.2,000/-. However, while setting aside ex parte proceedings, application preferred under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, 1908 was not revived.

3. In view of order passed by learned Court of first instance dated

14.05.2026, it is clarified that application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, 1908 which was dismissed in default on 16.09.2025 stood revived on setting aside of ex parte orders. Application be decided in accordance with law.

- 4. With above clarification, present petition is disposed of.
- 5. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of accordingly.

29.05.2026
chiranjeev

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No