



149 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-3126-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.05.2026

SATIYA DEVI AND OTHERS

....Appellants

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Upender Prashar, Advocate
 for the appellants.

 Mr. Bhisham Kumar, Advocate and
 Ms. Mansi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

CM-11556-CII-2026

 This is an application filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay of 76 days in filing the instant appeal.

 For the reasons recorded in the application, this Court is satisfied that the applicants/appellants have made out a sufficient cause for condonation of delay.

 Consequently, the present application is allowed. The delay of 76 days in filing the instant appeal is hereby condoned.

Main Appeal

 The appellants are aggrieved of the part of the order passed by the Tribunal whereby 90% of the compensation amount awarded by the



Tribunal has been ordered to be kept in fixed deposits in the name of the claimants even though the appellants are majors. The issue with respect to directions being issued by the Tribunal directing the compensation amount to be kept in fixed deposits has been elaborately dealt with by this Court in the case of **Kamaljit Kaur and others Vs. Union of India** bearing FAO No.4331 of 2024 decided on 12.09.2024 wherein this Court observed as under:

“9. Thus, in view of aforesaid decisions, it is evident that the guidelines issued by Apex Court in the case of General Manager, Kerala State Road Transport Corporation’s *ibid*, were issued to protect the rights of the claimants, who are :

- a) the minors;
- b) under some disability; and
- c) widows and illiterate persons

i.e. those who apprehend threat at the hands of unscrupulous elements and lack fiscal discipline.

10. The guidelines are not to be interpreted like statute but need to be followed in a more pragmatic manner. The Tribunals are right in ordering investment of compensation in Fixed Deposits in the case where a claimant is prone to being robbed off the compensation awarded. Thus, the broad parameters that can be laid down are that the Tribunals should order Fixed Deposits only in those cases where:

- (a) the claimant is a minor. From awarded compensation the share of the minor should be ordered to be invested in Fixed Deposits till he/she attains the age of majority or till the parents/guardians show pressing need to spend the amount for the benefit of minor;
- (b) where the claimant is a physically disabled person owing to some disability arising out of birth, injury or extremely old age and the Tribunal is satisfied that the



claimant will not be able to protect his/her money from unscrupulous elements; and
(c) where future treatment of the claimant needs to be taken care of by spending amount of compensation.

The list is merely illustrative and not exhaustive. In cases where claimants are major and there is no apprehension that they may fall prey to unscrupulous elements or touts/unethical arrangements etc., the amount need not be invested in Fixed Deposits.

11. In view of aforesaid parameters laid down by this Court, the appeals are allowed. However, in appeals bearing bearing FAO Nos.4331 & 4333 of 2024, wherein the claimant(s) are minors and in view of the fact that their interest needs to be taken care of, the compensation amount of the minors is ordered to be kept in fixed deposits till he/she attains the age of majority or till the parents/guardians exhibit pressing need to take care of the expenses for the betterment of the minors.

- 2. The present appeal is thus disposed off in the aforesaid terms. Impugned order dated 11.12.2025 passed by the Railway Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh is modified to the extent that the amount awarded to the claimants/appellants is ordered to be released.
- 3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

May 29, 2026
Dpr

(Pankaj Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No