



108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-2553-LPA-2021 in/and
LPA-1096-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 07.04.2026

CS ARORAAppellant
VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Petitioner (*joined through V.C.*) along with
Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate and
Mr. M.S Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Arnav Sharma, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-2553-LPA-2021

Present application has been filed by the learned counsel for the
appellant for condoning the delay of 78 days in filing the appeal.

Reply to the said application has been filed by learned counsel
for the respondent No.2 before the Registry of this Court. The same is taken
on record.

Keeping in view the contents mentioned in the application, the
same is allowed. Delay of 78 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

LPA-1096-2021 (O&M)

1. The present appeal challenges the order dated 02.12.2019 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP No. 26203 of 2019, whereby the writ petition filed by the appellant was dismissed *in limine*, keeping in view the fact that, in order to avoid any explosions in LPG cylinders, time frame during which LPG cylinders might should be used i.e. the expiry of the LPG cylinders should also be mentioned so that the safety concerns of the consumer can be taken care of and any injury to a consumer using such expired LPG cylinders can be avoided.

2. It may be noticed that while passing the order dated 02.12.2019, the learned Single Judge did not agree to bring the scope of LPG cylinders under Rule 9 (3) (V) of the Gas Cylinder Rules, 2016.

3. Once, a suggestion has been made by the appellant, the respondents should examine the possibility of examining the same. However, no opinion is being expressed by this Court on the merits with regard to the acceptance or rejection of such suggestion.

3. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, though no interference is called for by this Court in the impugned order dated 02.12.2019 passed by the learned Single Judge. However, it is directed that within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a copy of this order, the Chief Controller of Explosives, Faridabad, being the competent authority throughout India under the Gas Cylinder Rules, 2016, shall look into the suggestion of the appellant with regard to the stamping of warning signs on LPG cylinders after they are filled qua prescription of expiry date for the use of LPG cylinders in order to avoid any explosion or injury to consumers.

4. The said decision shall be taken by the authority concerned independently so as to decide whether the suggestion so given merits acceptance or rejection.

5. Present appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

07-04-2026
Sapna Goyal

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO