



CWP-5621-2013

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-5621-2013

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

KESHI RAM

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

**THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS, GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC
EDUCATION SOCIETY AND OTHERS**

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the office order dated 04/06.04.2011, Annexure P-6, only with respect to the fourth respondent, who has been promoted as Senior Lecturer thereby.

2. Learned State counsel has received instructions from the second respondent/Director General, Technical Education Department, vide memo dated 12.01.2026, which is retained on the case file as Annexure 'A'.

3. As per facts apparent on record, the petitioner joined respondent/Polytechnic Society, Uttawar, on deputation basis on 12.03.2007 (though the date mentioned in the instructions is 12.06.2007). The fourth respondent was selected and appointed as Lecturer in Mechanical Engineering in the same Society on 09.08.2007. Earlier, the petitioner had submitted a request letter dated 17.05.2007, for permanent absorption in the service of the



Society, which was accepted and he was permanently absorbed in the regular cadre vide order dated 30.11.2007. Accordingly, the fourth respondent remained his senior. After some time, the petitioner made a request for ante-dating his absorption from the date of the application, i.e., 17.05.2007, which was also accepted and he was considered to have been absorbed with effect from that date vide office order dated 28/29.07.2008. On that basis, it appears, he approached this Court challenging the promotion of the fourth respondent as Senior Lecturer vide the impugned order.

4. Further, learned State counsel has referred to the instructions, Annexure A, to submit that the office order dated 28/29.07.2008 ante-dating the petitioner's absorption was challenged by the fourth respondent and another employee by filing CWP-17968-2010 and CWP-17561-2011. These petitions were decided vide order dated 24.04.2015, and the office order dated 28/29.07.2008 was set aside as it had been passed without seeking objection from the fourth respondent and other affected Lecturers. The order was upheld in appeal by the Division Bench also in LPA-1058-2015, vide order dated 17.07.2015. Thereafter, the Department after inviting objections from the affected parties passed an order dated 23.05.2017, Annexure R-1, whereby the petitioner was again considered to have been permanently absorbed in the cadre of the Society with effect from 10.12.2007. It is also submitted that the petitioner has superannuated from service with effect from 31.03.2022.

5. In the light of the facts aforementioned, the instant petition does not survive for adjudication as the subsequent order dated 23.05.2017, whereby the petitioner's date of absorption has been changed to 10.12.2007, is

not under challenge here. And the orders dated 28/29.07.2008 based upon which the promotion of the fourth respondent has been challenged, has already been set aside.

6. The petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>