



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CWP-21785-2018

Date of decision : 27.04.2026

Dr. Parminder Singh and others

....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following reliefs :-

(i) *issue an appropriate writ, especially in the nature of certiorari thereby quashing Audit Requisition No.06 dated 11.05.2009 (Annexure P-8) raised by respondent No.4/Deputy Controller (Local Audit), Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana for withdrawal of benefit given to non Ph.D teachers after nine years of service and for quashing of letter dated 14.03.2016 (Annexure P-12 (Colly.)) issued by respondent No.4/Deputy Controller (Local Audit), Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana regarding recovery of excess payment made to the petitioners and for quashing of letter dated 04.05.2015 (Annexure P-12 (Colly.)) regarding recovery of excess payment made to the petitioners; which are in violation of the already implemented clause 2.2 and clause 2.6 of the 'Scheme For Career Advancement of Teachers w.e.f. from 27.07.1998', (Chapter IV-C of the Act and Statutes).*

(ii) *issue an appropriate writ, especially in the nature of mandamus thereby directing the respondents to release the increments of the petitioners which have been illegally and unlawfully stopped.*



CWP-21785-2018

-2-

(iii) issue an appropriate writ, especially in the nature of mandamus thereby directing the respondents to release the forcibly made recoveries at the time of revision of grades in 2009-10, and for refixation of pay of those petitioners from whom recoveries have already been made as per audit requisition and directing respondent No.4 to tick the next promotions of the petitioners to professors.”

2. In reply filed on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3, it has been stated as under :-

“Accordingly, after thorough discussion in respect of above points (iii) and (iv), the Deputy Controller (Local Audit) PAU, Ludhiana was informed vide this office memo No.Admn.IAU.2016/87 (spl.) dated 19.10.2016 (R-XV) followed by reminder No.Admn.IAU.2017/3630 dated 29.03.2017 (R-XVI) that there is no need to withdraw orders of grant of selection grade to the teachers who were granted this benefit strictly in accordance with the CAS effective from 27.07.1998 and in accordance with the clarification of DARE dated 19.04.2004 and was further requested to withdraw the audit requisition no.6 dated 11.05.2009, all other related individual requisitions on the subject and audit paras on this issue.

So, the provisions of clause 2.2 and clause 2.6 of the ‘Scheme for Career Advancement of Teachers w.e.f. 27.07.1998’ have already been implemented.”

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that in view of the above stand, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous as the benefit of selection grade, already granted to the petitioner, is not being withdrawn.

4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

27.04.2026

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No