



170

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.31229 of 2026
Date of Decision:29.05.2026**

Arshpreet Singh**..... Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for quashing of orders dated 04.02.2026 and 01.05.2026 passed by learned Judge, Special Court, Ludhiana in FIR No.0325 dated 25.11.2025 under Sections 21, 27, 29 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana whereby the bail/surety bonds of the petitioner has been cancelled or forfeited to State and issued warrants of arrest against the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was prosecuted in the abovesaid FIR. She submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court, however, due to unavoidable circumstances, he could not appear before the learned trial Court. She has submitted that due to the absence of petitioner, the learned trial Court vide orders dated 04.02.2026 and 01.05.2026 cancelled the bail; bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to State and warrant of arrest was issued against the petitioner. She has



submitted that absence of the petitioner was *bona fide* and not intentional and he never misused the concession of bail granted to him. She has further submitted that the petitioner is ready to appear before the learned trial Court and abide by the terms and conditions imposed upon him.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, D.A.G., Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has contended that learned trial Court rightly cancelled the bail of the petitioner and he was liable to be prosecuted in the said case, as he failed to appear in the Court despite orders.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. It is apparent that the petitioner was prosecuted in the present case and his bail was cancelled; bail/surety bonds were forfeited to State and warrant of arrest was issued against him, as he could not appear due to unavoidable circumstances. But now the petitioner is keen and ready to join the proceedings and face the trial. So keeping in view the abovesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of and orders dated 04.02.2026 and 01.05.2026 are *set aside* subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be deposited in the '**Punjab and Haryana High Court Dispensary Welfare Fund**' by the petitioner in one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, petitioner appears before the Court concerned within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and files an application for bail alongwith receipt of abovesaid costs, the trial Court concerned is directed to admit him to bail



subject to its satisfaction and proceed with the trial in accordance with law. He will have protection from arrest for a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, he will have no benefit of abovesaid protection granted by this Court and orders under challenge dated 04.02.2026 and 01.05.2026, would automatically come in force.

29.05.2026
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No