



CRM-M-31616-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CRM-M-31616-2025
Decided on: 25.03.2026

Avtar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.25 dated 20.03.2025 registered under Sections 115(2) of BNS (323 of IPC, 118(1) of BNS (324 of IPC), 118(2) of BNS (326 of IPC), 191(3) of BNS (148 of IPC), 190 of BNS (149 of IPC), 329(3) of BNS (447 of IPC) at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, District Batala (Gurdaspur), Punjab
2. While issuing notice, following contention of counsel for the petitioner was recorded:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is a delay of 39 days in lodging the present FIR wherein it has been alleged that the petitioner being armed with Datar has caused injury to the left wrist of the complainant. He submits that it is a case of version and cross-version. He has referred to order of this Court dated 15.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) where the similarly situated co-accused namely, Balwinder Singh has already



CRM-M-31616-2025

2

been granted concession of anticipatory bail and was directed to join investigation and the petitioner claims parity. He further contends that the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents.”

- 3. Today, the case called twice (once in the first session and second time during second session/post lunch session) for its hearing on merits, primarily for the reason that in para No. 5 of the status report, role of the petitioner has been explained as he caused one sharp injury No. 3 on left wrist of injured, which later on declared as grievous in nature.
- 4. No one appears to represent the petitioner.
- 5. Interim protection of stay from arrest is vacated and the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 6. Investigating Officer is directed to proceed in accordance with law.

25.03.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO