

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:014629-DB



LPA-801-2020 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 02.02.2026

State of Haryana and others ...Appellants

Vs.

Sita Ram Sharma ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Addl. AG, Haryana for the appellants.

Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*

**ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)**

1. Considering the fact that the learned Single Judge has allowed the claim of the respondent/writ-petitioner for medical reimbursement to the tune of Rs.50,750/- along with interest on the basis of actual medical bills, we are not persuaded to interfere in the matter.
2. It is otherwise undisputed that the respondent had suffered ailment and the claim for medical reimbursement was against original medical bills. In terms of applicable service rules, the respondent was otherwise entitled to reimbursement of medical bills. The mere fact that the eye surgery was performed in Udaipur and not in the State of Haryana, cannot be a ground to deny the benefit of medical reimbursement when it is undisputed that the son of the respondent was settled in Udaipur.

3. In that view of the matter, we do not find it to be a fit case warranting interference. The appeal lacks merit and is accordingly dismissed.
4. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)  
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)  
JUDGE

02.02.2026  
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No