



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

167

CWP-17298-2026

Date of Decision: 27.05.2026

NIRMAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER-CUM-DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KURUKSHETRA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Randeep Singh Dhakla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. In view of the judgments passed by the Supreme Court in *Union of India vs. Satyawati Tandon*, (2010) 8 SCC 110; *PHR Invent Educational Society vs. UCO Bank and others*, (2024) 6 SCC 579; and *South India Bank Ltd. and others vs. Naveen Mathew Philip and another*, (2023) 17 SCC 311, writ petition is not maintainable and is dismissed as such.

2. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedy available under the SARFAESI Act.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No