



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17247-2026(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.05.2026**

Sonu

....Petitioner

VS.

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. S.K.Tandon, Advocate (through VC)
for the petitioner

Mr. Akshay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to pay him compensation on account of disability suffered due to electrocution.

2. Petitioner on 25.06.2021 while working on HT Line came in contact with high voltage in the pole. He was taken to Civil Hospital, Jind where he underwent treatment. An FIR in this regard was lodged with Police Station vide FIR No. 197 dated 02.07.2021. He was issued disability certificate to the tune of 81% disability.

3. As per notification dated 15.07.2019, there is strict liability of Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (for short 'Nigam') in case of



non-fatal accident. Paragraph No.11 of the notification which creates strict liability of the Nigam reads as:-

“11. Private Person for Fatal Accident & Non Fatal Accidents DHBVN is engaged in the hazardous activity and risky for the human life and thus DHBVN owns strict liability for compensation to the private person. Accordingly, the compensation to the private person shall be payable in case of fatal as well as nonfatal accident irrespective of the reasons for such accident as the electricity system is open to the public. The compensation amount shall be payable as per provision of the Employees Compensation Act, 1923. However, this compensation shall be applicable for the accident cases occurring with the electrical network of the DHBVN and not in private premises.”

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that petitioner has not approached jurisdictional authorities.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has preferred representation to the respondent.
6. Be that as it may, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider petitioner’s claim as per applicable policy and pass an appropriate order within six weeks from today. The present petition may be treated as representation of the petitioner.
7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

27.05.2026
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No

