



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23039-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.01.2026

Zonal Business Manager and anotherPetitioners

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala and othersRespondents

CWP-10641-2018 (O&M)

B4S Solutions Pvt. Ltd. Formerly known as Bhardwaj Security Pvt. Ltd.
.....Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner-Management.

None for the respondent-workman.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1) Since the contesting respondent-workman is same, both these petitions are taken up together for disposal, vide a common order.

2) Through the instant writ petitions, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek quashing of the award dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-9), vide which, the learned Industrial Tribunal answered the reference in favour of the respondent-workman.

3) At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has since been resolved between the parties. In this regard, he has produced a copy of order dated 19.08.2019, passed by the learned Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, which is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

4) The relevant part of the order (supra), is extracted



hereinbelow:-

“Respondent claimant has no objection if the exparte proceedings/ order dated 25.3.2019 is setaside.

Amicable settlement has reached between the parties vide which respondent company B4S Solutions Pvt. Ltd. has paid an amount of Rs. 7,60,829/- to the claimant which has been accepted by the claimant as back wages.

However, the company has offered him the job at Meerut at the salary of Rs. 13,074/- which is not acceptable to the claimant.

Regarding the place of posting claimant has stated that he shall agitate this issue before the Hon'ble High Court. Regarding depositing of provident fund upto the year 2014, the respondent company shall issue relevant documents in this regard which shall tender in the office of provident fund Department to the year 2014 to the claimant Amit Kumar Saini within a month from today. Nothing remains more to be adjudicated. Hence, this application is disposed of being satisfied. File be consigned to records.”

- 5). In view of the abovesaid settlement arrived at between the parties, nothing substantial survives for adjudication by this Court. This might be the reason that neither the respondent-workman, nor anyone on his behalf is present to pursue the matter.
- 6) Accordingly, the instant writ petitions are **disposed of**, as having been rendered infructuous.
- 7) A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

22.01.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No