



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CWP-19042 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.01.2026

Surinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of ACP scheme on completion of 32 years of service of the petitioner, in view of the instructions dated 25.09.1998 (Annexure P-1), by ignoring his option for grant of benefit under instructions dated 03.11.2006 (Annexure P-2), as his case is fully covered by various judgments passed by this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, he will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to treat the present writ petition as representation and decide the same, in a time bound manner.



3. Learned State counsel does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat the present writ petition as representation and consider and decide the same, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA
JUDGE

JANUARY 20, 2026
d.gulati

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No