



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

RSA-2693-2008 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 29.01.2026

Ram Milan ...Appellant
vs.
State of Haryana and anr. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellant.
Mr. Harish Nain, A.A.G. Haryana.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant on the last date of hearing i.e 04.12.2025 and Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant and to his counsel. As per office report, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has been informed through mail. Notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that he has been unserved as he is transferred to Ambala.
2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the present appellant is dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. Liberty is however granted to the appellant to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.
5. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

29.01.2026	(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
Gaurav Arora	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No