



CWP-20467-2021

:1:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CWP-20467-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.04.2026

BHOOP SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. R. K. Dhankar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant the benefit of one notional increment to the petitioner for the period from 01.01.2005 to 31.12.2005 for the purpose of retiral benefits.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner was appointed as a Constable in the Department of Police, Haryana on 01.01.1972. Thereafter, he was promoted and after attaining the age of superannuation, retired on 31.12.2005 as E/ASI. As per the petitioner, he is entitled for grant of one notional increment which became due on 01.01.2006, as he had completed one year of service from 01.01.2005 to 31.12.2005. The benefit of the said



CWP-20467-2021

:2:

notional increment has been denied to the petitioner on the ground that the he was not in service on 01.01.2006, when the increment fell due, having retired on 31.12.2005.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that similar issue came up for consideration before the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No.24599 of 2024 titled as 'Mittar Sain Jain and others Vs. State of Haryana and others', decided on 29.08.2025.**

4. In the above said case, petitioners therein were claiming the grant of one annual increment which fell due on 1st of July, however, the same benefit was denied to them on the ground that they had retired on 30th June. It was held in the above said judgment that petitioners therein were entitled to the benefit of annual increment in terms of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **The Director (Administration and HR) KPTCL and others Vs. C. P. Mundingamani and others.**

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondents to re-consider the case of petitioner in light of the judgment dated 29.08.2025 passed in **Mittar Sain Jain's case (supra)**, in a time bound manner.

6. Learned State counsel does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to re-consider the case of petitioner, in light of the judgment dated



CWP-20467-2021

:3:

29.08.2025 passed in *Mittar Sain Jain's case (supra)*, within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. In case, the petitioner is held entitled for grant of relief as claimed by him in the present writ petition, the same be also released to him within a period of 01 month thereafter.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

16.04.2026
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No