



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CWP-26031-2016

Date of decision: 05.03.2026

Arvind Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Anirudh Malhan, Advocate and
Ms. Sheeno Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Bindu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, for quashing the order dated 07.12.2016 (Annexure P-4), passed by the respondent-department, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Patti to Jagraon and officiating charge to the post of General Manager has been withdrawn and he has been asked to discharge the duties as Assistant Mechanical Engineer. Further, a writ of mandamus has also been sought for directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to discharge the duties as General Manager in terms of order of officiating charge dated 23.12.2015 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite his

best efforts, he has not been able to get further instructions from the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.5 submits that since respondent No.5 has already retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. In this view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause still survives.

05.03.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No