



202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15866-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.01.2026

Ghasi Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

2. Case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, **CWP No.9936 of 2017**.

3. Learned State counsel submits that in terms of above stated judgment of this Court, the respondents authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioner in terms of judgments of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands *disposed of*.
5. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.01.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No