



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

**CWP-16481-2024 (O & M)
Date of Decision:23.01.2026**

RENU SWAMI AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate
for petitioner No.1.

Mr. Varlin Garg, Advocate
for petitioner No.2.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate and
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. M.S. Virk, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Mr. Kalra, who is representing respondent No.2, has placed on record a copy of communication bearing No.PPC-2026/4056 dated 15.01.2026 received from Punjab Pharmacy Council, to state that cancellation orders issued to 65 pharmacists including the petitioner, have



CWP-16481-2024 (O & M)

-2-

been kept in abeyance as the due procedure was not followed. He states that further action would be taken as per law.

2. In view of the above development, writ petition has become infructuous. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
3. It is, however, clarified that as cancellation orders have been kept in abeyance, till the time further action is not taken in accordance with law, the licenses issued to petitioner, if valid, would remain in operation.
4. Pending application is disposed of.

23.01.2026
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No