



**CRM-M-30969-2026
& another connected matter**

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 01.07.2026

AMAN RAJ	CRM-M-30969-2026	... PETITIONER
VERSUS		
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER		...RESPONDENTS
CRM-M-31043-2026		
NAVIN KUMAR @ NAVEEN KUMAR		... PETITIONER
VERSUS		
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER		...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Bhanu Chaudhary, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Kanhyia Goyal, Advocate
 for respondent No.2 (in both cases).

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petitions under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.287 dated 18.11.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 24 of Immigration Act, and Sections 143(2), 316(2), 318(4), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Siwani, District Bhiwani, Haryana.



**CRM-M-30969-2026
& another connected matter**

2

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. As the petitioners are in custody since 11.05.2026 and the challan already stands filed but none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, they are entitled to the concession of bail.

3. The learned counsel for the State submits that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners does not entitle them to the concession of bail. She, however, does not dispute the fact that a compromise has been effected between the parties, that the petitioners are in custody since 11.05.2026 and that none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. The learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute the factum of a compromise having been arrived at between the parties and states that he has no objection, if the petitioners are granted the concession of bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly, the parties have entered into a settlement. As the petitioners are in custody since 11.05.2026 but none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

7. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners, namely, Aman Raj S/o Shri

2026:PHHC:088803



**CRM-M-30969-2026
& another connected matter**

3

Avinash Kumar and Navin Kumar @ Naveen Kumar S/o Sh. Choturam are ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned upon furnishing fresh bail bonds/surety bonds.

8. The petition stands disposed of.
9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

01.07.2026
kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No