



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270

CRM-M-31606-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.05.2026

Surinder Pal Singh alias Surinder Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Angel Walia and Mr. Sunil Sharma, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.04 dated 25.02.2026, registered under Sections 13(1)(a), 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, read with 409, 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Range Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for more than 3 months. The allegations against him are of having executed the conveyance deed not by him but by his father, in which, he had no role to play. Co-accused namely Resham Singh has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 06.05.2026, while co-accused Jobanjit Singh Patwari granted regular bail vide order of even date. Challan was presented on 25.05.2026 and charges have not been framed and in all there are 15 prosecution witnesses. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.



3. The custody certificate dated 28.05.2026, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 3 months and 1 day.
4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations levelled against the petitioner that his father had executed the conveyance deed. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage, co-accused having been granted bail and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.
5. Heard.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 3 months and 1 day; not involved in any other case; co-accused are on bail; challan stands presented on 25.05.2026, however, charges are yet to be framed and there are a total of 15 prosecution witnesses, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.
7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.
9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not



be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

29.05.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No