

**CR-3417-2022 (O&M)****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****(297)****CR-3417-2022 (O&M)****Date of decision: - 09.02.2026****Raj Kumar****....Petitioner****Versus****Angrej Singh****.....Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Dhawan, Advocate
for the respondent.

**********VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 16.07.2022 passed by the Rent Controller, Moga, dismissing the application of the petitioner-tenant for treating the present ejectment application/petition as a regular eviction petition.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the petitioner has filed the leave to contest and the same is pending adjudication and the petitioner had filed a premature application which has been dismissed by the Rent Controller.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision



CR-3417-2022 (O&M)

-2-

petition with liberty to raise all pleas which have been raised in the application for leave to contest and are available to the petitioner at the time of arguing the leave to contest application and the said application be decided by the Rent Controller dehors of the observations made in the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to the said course of action but has submitted that the Rent Controller be directed to decide the said application of leave to contest as expeditiously as possible.

5. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as by the respondent, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to raise all pleas which have been raised in the leave to contest and are available to the petitioner at the time of final adjudication of leave to contest application and the Rent Controller would decide the same, after hearing both the parties, dehors of the observations made in the impugned order. The Rent Controller, is also requested to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the matter and the Rent Controller would decide the same independently, in accordance with law.

February 09, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
 Whether reportable?

Yes/No
 Yes/No