

**146 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.081560



**CRWP-6179-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.05.2026**

**SALONI KUMARI AND ANOTHER ... PETITIONERS
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondent. Resultantly, two representations dated 21.05.2026 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) were also made to respondent No.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot and the SHO, P.S. Taragarh, District Pathankot wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned

counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot to look into their representation dated 21.05.2026 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”**, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

22.05.2026

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No