

104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
213 AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:088663



CRM-25871-2026 IN/&
CRM-M-30494-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.07.2026

SUKHNEET SINGH @ TIMMY ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. J.S.Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-25871-2026

This is an application under Section 528 BNSS/482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record the medical record of the petitioner as Annexure A-1.

Application is allowed.

Annexure A-1 is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Main case

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.284 dated 15.09.2024 U/s 22 (c), 27-A of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Sadar, Tohana, District Fatehabad.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on the basis of secret information, co-accused Surender Singh was apprehended, and a recovery was effected from his possession comprising 37 cardboard boxes containing Celcidale NRx Tramadol Hydrochloride Tablets 100 mg, weighing a total of

7.363 kilograms; 3 boxes containing 150 strips of Clovvidal-100 SR NRx Tramadol Hydrochloride SR Tablets IP 100 mg, weighing a total of 861 grams; and 4 boxes containing 240 strips of Quiler 0.5 NRx Alprazolam Tablets IP 0.5 mg, weighing a total of 333 grams and 6 milligrams. On the basis of his disclosure statement, co-accused Mandeep, Virender Kumar alias Binder and Amar Singh Bidhuri alias Bittu, were arrested and whose disclosure statement, the petitioner has been nominated in the present case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner was initially implicated in FIR No. 270 dated 03.09.2024, registered under Sections 22(c), 27-A and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar, Tohana, District Fatehabad, as well as in FIR No. 271 dated 04.09.2024, registered under Sections 22(c) and 27-A of the NDPS Act at the same police station, solely on the basis of the disclosure statement made by co-accused Mandeep Singh alias Dholu concerning the same batch number of contraband. He further submits that the two different FIRs have been registered against the same consignment. Moreover, no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 05 days. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 05 days and out of total cited 28 prosecution witnesses, only 01 witness has been

examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence against the petitioner; he is in custody for 08 months and 05 days and out of total cited 28 prosecution witnesses, only 01 witness has been examined so far; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

10. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

12. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

01.07.2026

Janki	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No