



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.146

**CRM-M-31678-2026
Decided on : 29.05.2026**

Sunita Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present : Mr. Jarnail Singh Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS of 2023, the petitioner prays for issuance of direction to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to ensure that private respondent No.4 will not interfere in the free plying of the vehicle of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner on asking for the relief (supra) has placed reliance upon the judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of *ICICI Bank Ltd. Versus Parkash Kaur and others RCR Criminal 2007 (2) page 76* and *ICICI Bank versus Shanti Devi Sharma and others in SLP (Crl.) No.4935 of 2006*, decided on *15.05.2008* and submits that the recovery of loan or seizure of vehicles could be done only through legal means.

3. Without issuing notice of motion in the present petition, a direction is issued to the official respondents No.1 to 3 to take necessary



steps to ensure that private respondent No.4 will not cause any obstruction in the plying of the petitioner’s vehicle, except in accordance with due process of law.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

29.05.2026
mamta

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No