



102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-8175-CWP-2026 in/and  
CWP-26637-2014  
DECIDED ON: 20.05.2026

ATAR SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate and  
Mr. Kabir Gautam, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CM-8175-CWP-2026

Prayer in this application is for fixing the actual date of hearing in the main petition.

Notice in the application.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having served with an advance copy of the application, has put in appearance and endorsed no objection, in case the present application is allowed.

Considering that the petition was adjourned *sine die* to await the decision in SLP No. 27394 of 2018 arising out of *Yogesh Tyagi vs. State of Haryana*, and that the Supreme Court has finally decided the issue raised therein, while considering a bunch of connected cases vide judgment rendered in *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of

2024 decided on 16.04.2026 (Annexure A-1), the present application deserves to be allowed.

Accordingly, the application is allowed.

Main case is taken on board.

**Main case**

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution India for issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the service of the petitioners in terms of instructions dated 30.12.1998/25.02.1999 (Annexure P-5) and instruction dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure P-11) under amended instructions dated 20.06.2014 (Annexure P-13) issued by the respondents. Further a prayer has been made to direct the respondents-authorities to grant all the consequential benefits.

The petitioners claim to be covered under the policy dated 20.06.2014 (Annexure P-13) as well as by the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024 titled *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, along with a bunch of connected appeals.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid proposal put forth by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, Respondents-Competent Authority, is directed to examine the individual claims for regularization of the petitioners and adjudicate the same in light of the principles laid down in *Madan Singh's case* (supra), after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and by passing a speaking order. A copy of the speaking order so passed shall be supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.

It is further clarified that all the pleas raised in the present petition and the grounds taken therein shall be duly considered at the time of adjudication of the petitioners’ case before passing the speaking order.

The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

20.05.2026  
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No  
Whether reportable :Yes/No