



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.143

**CRWP-6155-2026
Date of Decision: 22.05.2026**

SIMRAN AND ANR.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners, who are married since 21.05.2026 and for further directing respondents No.1 to 3 for restraining respondents No.4 to 5 or any other person from interfering in the married life of petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks protection of life and liberty of the petitioners by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members and to seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation dated 21.05.2026 (Annexure P-7) in this regard to the official respondent, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members-respondents.



3. Notice of motion be issued to the official respondents only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. A copy of the paper-book be handed over to him during the course of the day.
5. Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and have married each other, in support of which, Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-5) and Marriage Photographs (Annexure P-6) have been placed on record.
6. Thus, the Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar (Haryana) is directed to consider the representation dated 21.05.2026 (Annexure P-7) qua threat perception and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.
7. It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being their Aadhar Cards as Annexure P-1 and P-3 respectively. This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).
8. The petition is disposed of with the above direction.
9. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

22.05.2026

Anu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE