

2026.PHHC:055352



216.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20334-2019

Date of decision: 09.04.2026

Harvinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate, for
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner and to issue him appointment order, as persons having less marks, are being considered for appointment; further seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the list dated 15.11.2018 (Annexure P-19) and notice & list dated 22.07.2019 (Annexure P-21) to the extent that the persons having less marks have been considered for appointment; and to grant all the benefits including seniority, arrears and other consequential relief along with 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 09.04.2025 passed in **LPA No.1843 of 2019** titled as '**State of Punjab and another v. Karamjeet Kaur**'.

3. Though learned State counsel has not disputed the said fact, however, he has submitted that the said **LPA No.1843 of 2019** (supra) has been challenged by the State before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) Diary No.46242 of 2025, wherein *status quo* has been granted, vide order dated 16.09.2025, which reads as under:-

“Delay condoned.

Issue notice.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent accepts notice. In the meantime, there shall be an order of status quo existing as on today.”

4. Since the present petition is squarely covered by the decision in **LPA No.1843 of 2019** (supra) and, therefore, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms, however, subject to the final outcome of the aforesaid SLP.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No