



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CWP-18511-2020

Date of decision: 12.03.2026

Tarsem Singh Mittal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, for quashing the order dated 31.07.2020 (Annexure P-7). Further, a writ of mandamus has also been sought for directing the respondents to grant regular pension and to release the amount of gratuity admissible to the petitioner with 12% interest from the date of retirement till its actual payment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, all the retiral dues of the petitioner have been released and he may be given liberty to submit a detailed representation for claiming interest and the same may be ordered to be considered in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. If any such representation is submitted by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the competent authority, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from its receipt.
5. Disposed of in the above terms.

12.03.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No