



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

**FAO-5450-2010 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 12.02.2026**

Satbir and ors.

...Appellants

VS.

Pawan Kumar and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the parties.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

None has put in appearance on behalf of the parties on the last date of hearing i.e 28.01.2026 and Registry was directed to issue notice to the parties. As per office report, appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been served. Appellant No. 3 is sated to have been expired. Notice issued to respondent No. 1 has been received back unserved with the report that no such person is residing at the given address. Notice issued to respondent No. 2 has been received back with the report that the same has not been received back served or otherwise from New Delhi. Respondent No. 3 is duly served.

2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It seems that the appellants are no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Liberty is however granted to the appellants to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.

5. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

12.02.2026

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No