



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CWP-16937-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.05.2026**

SUB INSPECTOR JAI CHAND

..... Petitioner

VERSUS**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Anuj Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the notice of premature retirement dated 21.04.2026 (Annexure P-1), order dated 23.04.2026/24.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) and adverse remarks recorded in the ACR of the petitioner for the period from 18.09.2023 to 03.03.2024 conveyed vide communication dated 06.02.2025 (Annexure P-6). The petitioner has also challenged the order dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-8) vide which, the representation submitted by the petitioner against the said adverse remarks recorded in his ACR, has been rejected.

2. A perusal of the facts of the case would show that the petitioner has challenged the notice of premature retirement dated 21.04.2026. A perusal of the instructions dated 05.02.2019 (Annexure P-3) issued by Chief Secretary, General Administration Department, Haryana Government would

**CWP-16937-2026****:2:**

show that a Government employee who has been served with a notice/order of premature retirement, under the said instructions, may submit a representation to the competent authority.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in light of the above said instruction, the petitioner intends to file a representation before the competent authority against the order/notice of premature retirement. As such, the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a representation before the competent authority against the order of premature retirement dated 23.04.2026/24.04.2026 (Annexure P-2)

4. Learned senior counsel also submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to file a separate writ petition challenging the adverse remarks recorded in his ACR which was communicated to the petitioner on 06.02.2025 (Annexure P-6).

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

26.05.2026

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No