



207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN-2072-2023
DECIDED ON:19.01.2026

SHAHEED AMAR SINGH EDUCATION SOCIETY

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

NISHANT KUMAR YADAV AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: Mr. Pawandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional A.G. Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. Reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Nishant Kumar Yadav, Deputy
Commissioner, Gurugram, filed on behalf of respondent No.1, in the Registry,
is taken on record.

2. CWP-30981-2019 was disposed of vide order dated 03.02.2023
(Annexure P-1) and the following directions were passed:-

“6. The State counsel on instructions from Mr. Dinesh Malhan, Gram Sachiv submits that the funds for carrying out the digging and laying underground pipeline for discharge of the waste water have already been sanctioned and the same is in progress. The work shall positively be completed by the concerned agency within a period of 04 months.

7. The aforesaid statement is accepted. The present petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The respondents shall however be remained bound by the statement and shall undertake execution of the aforesaid project and for diversion of the drain water/waste water of the village in the newly dug pond within the period undertaken above.”

3. The instant contempt petition has been preferred by the petitioner



alleging willful disobedience of the said directions.

4. In the reply, it has categorically been submitted that the aforesaid order stands complied with and the work stands completed.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that concededly the work has been completed. However, despite the same, water still accumulates at the concerned spot during the rainy season.
6. After having argued at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not wish to press the instant contempt petition and shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law for redressal of his grievances.
7. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant contempt petition is disposed of.
8. Rule stands discharged.
9. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

19.01.2026
mahima

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No