



CRM-M-31791-2026 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

153

**CRM-M-31791-2026(O&M)
Decided on: 29.05.2026**

GURMEET SINGH @ FAUJI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Manu Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions to learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala to expeditiously decide the Criminal Revision Petition No. CRR-85/2023 in case titled as "Gurpreet Singh @ Fauji Vs. State of Punjab, (arising out of case being no. CHI-86-2014, whereby application under Section 319 Code of Criminal Code, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for summoning accused/ present petitioner was allowed), pending adjudication since 12.04.2023, within a time bound period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the aforesaid revision petition has been pending for adjudication since 12.04.2023 and despite lapse of considerable period, the same has not yet been decided. Learned counsel prayed for issuance of directions to the



CRM-M-31791-2026 (O&M) -2-

learned revisional court to decide the revision petition expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

3. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab put in appearance and submitted that the petitioner was declared as a proclaimed offender vide order dated 27.01.2016, the petitioner was arrested on 23.04.2022 and his application for regular bail was dismissed by the learned trial Court vide order dated 27.04.2022. Subsequently, the petitioner was granted regular bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 01.06.2022 passed in CRM-M-23722-2022. As such, delay in trial has been caused due to the act and conduct of the petitioner.

4. Heard.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the present case and the contentions raised by learned counsel for the parties and the fact that the petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender on 27.01.2016, and was arrested years later i.e. 23.04.2022. Since the matter is currently sub-judice, and a perusal of the annexed zimni orders reveals that the petitioner is responsible for delaying the trial proceedings. Hence, this Court does not find any merit to interfere in the domain of working of the learned revisional court, at this stage, by issuing any direction.

6. Thus, present petition is hereby **dismissed**.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

29.05.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO