



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.215**TA-794-2025****Date of Decision: 20.01.2026****SHEEMA****....Applicant****Versus****VIKAS ARORA****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present:- Mr. K.S.Saini, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Vivek Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent (Through video conference).

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

The applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. DMC/437/2025, titled '*Vikas Arora v/s Sheema*', filed by the respondent-husband, pending in the Family Court, Bathinda and she seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Rupnagar.

Upon notice issued, the respondent made appearance through counsel and filed reply.

Counsel for the parties heard.

At the very outset, it is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis, had taken place on 29.04.2017, but no child was born from the said wedlock. Unfortunately, due to the matrimonial dispute, the parties are residing separate. The



applicant is not having any source of earning and is dependent upon her parental family. Even, she has filed the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., as well as the petition under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, which are pending in the Courts at Rupnagar and the respondent is pursuing both the said petitions. The distance between the two places is stated to be about 197 kms.

On the other hand, counsel for the respondent while making reference to the reply submits that the applicant is concealing the material fact. In fact, it is submitted that the it was only on account of the bad conduct of the applicant, the matrimonial dispute arose between the parties. Also, it is submitted that the applicant was working in Delhi and now she has left the job and is living in Rupnagar.

Even though, the counsel had submitted that the applicant was earlier working in Delhi and had left the job, but there is no material coming on record, to so substantiate this submission. In fact, it is not even mentioned in the reply. It is categoric claim of the applicant that she is not having any source of earning. Also, two other litigation arising from the matrimonial dispute, already pending in the courts at Rupnagar, which are being pursued by the respondent.

Considering all the aforesaid circumstances, the transfer application is hereby allowed and the under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. DMC/437/2025, titled '*Vikas Arora v/s Sheema*', filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court, Bathinda, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Rupnagar. The requisite record of



the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court, Bathinda, to the District and Sessions Judge, Rupnagar.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Rupnagar, shall assign the said petition to the Family Court, Rupnagar. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court, Rupnagar, within a period of one month from today onwards.

20.01.2026
Sonu Saini

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No