



CRM-M-33742-2024 and
CRM-M-33749-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

320 CRM-M-33742-2024

Kirpal Singh and othersPetitioners
Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents
320-2 CRM-M-33749-2024

Balraj Singh and othersPetitioners
Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents
Decided on :12.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-33749-2024).

Mr. P.S. Bindra, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-33742-2024).

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3 (in CRM-M-33742-2024) and

Mr. P.S. Bindra, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3 (in CRM-M-33749-2024)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. By way of this common order both the aforementioned petitions are being disposed.
2. It is a case of version and cross-version. petitioners in CRM-M-33749-2024 are seeking quashing of FIR No.79 dated 23.05.2023, under Sections 307, 325, 34 of IPC and Sections 25, 27, 29 of Arms Act, 1859, registered at P.S. Lopoke, District Amritsar, and all subsequent

**CRM-M-33742-2024 and
CRM-M-33749-2024**

2

proceedings arising therefrom, whereas petitioners in CRM-M-33742-2024 are seeking quashing of Rapat No.33, dated 15.09.2023, under Sections 326, 323, 506, 34 IPC, in case FIR No.79 dated 23.05.2023, under Sections 307, 325, 34 of IPC and Sections 25, 27, 29 of Arms Act, 1859, registered at P.S. Lopoke, District Amritsar, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, including the final report under Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C. dated 18.08.2023, on the basis of compromise dated 07.06.2024 (Annexure P-5), arrived at between the parties.

3. Vide order dated 28.10.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

4. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ajnala , in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

5. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report(s). The relevant part of the said report(s) is reproduced herebelow:



CRM-M-33742-2024 and
CRM-M-33749-2024

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Four accused in FIR Three accused in cross case
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Two complainant/victim in FIR as well as in cross case
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	NA

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.



**CRM-M-33742-2024 and
CRM-M-33749-2024**

4

7. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

8. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ajnala, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR as well as cross case and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

9. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

10. Petitions stand disposed of.

11. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

12.01.2026
Rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**