



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6089-2026

Date of decision : 21.05.2026

Shivani and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Virender Kumar Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners so that no harm is caused to them at the instance of private respondents No.4 to 8 and for not harassing/implicating the petitioners especially petitioner No.2 in any false criminal case at the instance of respondent No.4 to 8 on account of love affair of petitioners as they are living in live-in-relationship. Further prayer has been made for directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 20.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of private respondents and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 20.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal, Haryana.



3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal, Haryana to decide the representation dated 20.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

21.05.2026*m. sharma***(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No