



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

137

CRM-M-30278-2026
Decided on : 26.05.2026

Diensh and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Manjeet Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. PK Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No. 460, dated 15.08.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 452, 506 of IPC [corresponding Sections 191, 190, 115(2), 118, 333, 351 of BNS, 2023], registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise-deed dated 22.04.2026 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the parties.

2. As per allegations in the FIR, more than eight accused persons, namely, (i) Sanjay, (ii) Neelam, (iii) Dinesh (**petitioner herein**), (iv) Chini, (v) Sunil Saini, (vi) Rajesh Saini, Ex-Sarpanch, (vii) Rajbir @ Raje (**petitioner herein**), (viii) Bala, besides two other persons, are involved in the present case, whereas the instant petition has been filed only on behalf of two of them.

3. Since, partial compromise quashing petition is not maintainable,



in view of the judgment of this Court in Rakesh Das v. State of Haryana, 2024(4) Law Herald 3141 : Law Finder Doc Id #2663704, the present petition stands **dismissed** accordingly.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action, by impleading all the necessary parties to the *lis*.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*